REVENUE (1950) Department

SERIES.

G.O. NO. 3054

Dated. 27th November 19

Despatch Abstract.

Public Servants - (South Kanara) - Sanjeeva Rama, [illegible] - Inclusion in the approved list of Deputy ~~Tahsildars~~ Tahsildars for 1950 - Orders passed.

1871 / 31-+-5

REVENUE DEPARTMENT

Subject

SANJEEVA KHARVI, SRI K. -(South Kanara) - Acting Taluk Head Accountant - Kasargod - Inclusion of name in the approved li of Deputy Tahsildars - Petition - Submitted.

Ref.No.D.9851/50-2(C).

Station:-Madras. Dated 25th October 1950

Sri A.F.Mascarenhas, I.A.S.,
Secretary, Board of Revenue, Madras.

Sri V.N.Kudva, I.C.S., Commissioner of Commercial Tax
and W.R.S.Satthianathan, I.C.S., Commr. of Land Reven

Read:- Petition from Sri K.Sanjiva Kharvi, dated 16-9-50.
from the Collector, South Kanara, A2-24219/50-1(C),
dated 9-10-50.

REFERENCE:

Government in continuation of Board's
Refer /50-1(Confidential), dated 5-10-50.

2. Along with the annual proposals for the inclusion of names in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildars for 1950 for the South Kanara district, the Collector did not recomme the name of the petitioner. The Board considered that the candidates senior to the candidates recommended. It did not include his name in the list. Relevant extracts from the Collector's annual proposals are enclosed.

for Secretary.

To

Secretary to the Government, Revenue Department, Mad
(with a petition, extracts & personal file).

PVV.26:10.

Copy of letter Ref.A2-24219/50-1(C), dated 9-10-50 from Janab Syed Ahmed, B.Sc.(Hons.) Collector of South Kanara to the Secretary, Board of Revenue, Madras.

..

Sub;- SANJEEVA KHARVI, SRI K. - Acting Taluk Head Accountant Kasargod - Inclusion of name in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildars - Petition to Government - Submitted

ef:- Petition dated 16-9-50 from Sri K.Sanjiva Kharvi.

--

I enclose the petition dated 16-9-50 from Sri K.Sanji Kharvi cited above addressed to the Government for inclusion of his name in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildars.

2. The proposals for inclusion of names in the approv list of Deputy Tahsildars for 1950 were submitted to the Boa in my Pdl.No.71/50(C), dated 28-5-50 and orders were passed by the Board thereon in its Ref.No.D.4182/50-4(C), dated 21-8-50. The petitioner is No.3 in the statement of persons technically qualified for inclusion in Deputy Tahsildars' li I have no further remarks to offer.

3. The petitioner's personal file is enclosed in a sealed cover.

Extract from the South Kanara Collector's report for the year 1950:-

Statement showing the names of candidates technically qualified for inclusion in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildars (Arranged in the order of seniority in the Upper division clerk category).

1. S.No.:	3
2. Name:	K.Sanjiva Kharvi.
3. Age (with date of birth)	31 (8-5-1919)
4. Qualification:	
Educational:	Intermediate.
Special Tests:	Revenue (parts I to III) Accounts (Parts I and II). Crl.Judl. (Parts I and II) Survey training. Maintenance Examination.
5. Service:- Total (on 30.4.50):-	11-1-1 (Service as temporary Deputy Tahsildar 1 year 3 months and 22 days.
As Revenue Inspector:	2-2-16 - Prior to 23-8-46.
6. Date of commencement of service regularly as Upper division clerk and the amount of leave taken after that date.	1-12-45 (leave 2 months and 8 days).
7. Post held at present:	Undergoing Taluk Head Accountant training in Taluk Office, Coondapur.
8. Community:	Backward Hindu (Kharvi)
9. Whether striker or not:	Striker
10. Whether the name was proposed previously and if so when with the authority of the result:	Not proposed.before..
11. Whether recommended or not, if not reasons for not recommending:	His early record is good and therefore he was appointed temporarily as T.S.O.,Coondapur. As Taluk Supply Officer his work is not very satisfactory. He has been reverted and is now undergoing Taluk Head Accountant's Training. He has no personality and looks very unimpressive. The District Supply Officer reports that he has no push. I consider that he is not yet fit in the competitive field for his name being included in the Deputy Tahsildar's list. Hence not recommended. (Sd) Syed Ahmed, 28/5/50 Collector.

p16|(S)

/True extract/

for Secretary.

PVV.26.10.

24/10

To

The Secretary to
The Government of Madras,
Land Revenue, M a d r a s. .

Through

The Proper Channel.

Petition of Sri.K. Sanjeeva Kharvi,
Officiating Head Accountant,
Taluk Office, Kasaragod.

Dated 16--9--1950.

Respected Sir,

Being aggrieved by the action of the Board of Revenue in ignoring my case for inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars while selecting candidates from this district during the last month, I approach the Government with the following representations for sympathetic-consideration and favourable orders:

2. I joined service in the Revenue Department in this district in 1938 and have put in a total regular service of 11½ years till now. I was appointed as a regular Upper Division Clerk in 1945. I qualified myself for promotion to the Deputy Tahsildar's grade in 1947 and was temporarily promoted to that grade in September 1948. Till 31-8-50 I was acting temporarily in the same grade with a few breaks in the intervals for want of vacancies. The total active service put in by me so far in the grade of Dy. Tahsildar is 1 year and 7 months. I have worked in the department in different branches to the entire satisfaction of my superiors. Particulars of the service are furnished below:-

	From	To	Total Service.
As Lower Division Clerk, Taluk Offices, working in important seats such as Jamabandy, Darkhast, Encroachments, Alienations and Land Records etc.	21-11-38	18-7-42:	3 years 3 months & 10 days.
Undergone Survey Training:	--	20-7-42 - 30-7-42:	6 Weeks.
As Revenue Inspector:	--Oct.42-	March 1945:	2½ years.
As Head Clerk, Upper Division Clerk (Section Head) Dist. Supply Office, Mangalore.	April'45 -	August'48:	3 years & 5 months.
As Huzur Treasurer, Collector's Mangalore: ---	26-8-48 -	3-9-48:	9 days.

As Election Deputy Tahsildar Kasaragod.	in 1948	--	1½ mont
	in 1950	--	3 months.
As Taluk Supply Officer in the grade of Deputy Tahsildar	From 1948 to 1950		1 year & 2½ mon

Completed Taluk Head Accountants Training in May 1950.

I was selected as a candidate fit for appointment to the posts carrying Rs. 110/- in 1948 with reference to Board's progs. Rt.No.8144(Confdl) and No.8145 (confdl.) dated 9-12-48. This selection, I learn, was made strictly according to individual merits and services of each candidate. All the above facts go to show that under no circumstances my claim for inclusion in the Deputy Tahsildar's list deserves to be set aside. But, to my ill-luck the Board has refused to take notice of my case and selected 2 Backward Hindus who are age-barred and one Christian candidate and among whom two are junior to me in the list of persons selected for temporary appointment as Deputy Tahsildars.

3.I belong to a backward community of Kharvis which is also a minority community and poorly represented in Government service in this district. I have passed the Intermediate Examination in Arts and Science and am the only person who has got an higher educational qualification among the persons of this community. The Government may be aware of the fact that the backward communities in this district form a majority among the Non-brahmin Hindus and that the proportion of 6:2 in the Communal Rotation rules allotted between the Non-brahmins and Backward Hindus has worked hard on the latter class. Even among these backwards the best chances are taken by sections which are already forward. I feel that justice has been denied to me by not including my name in the Deputy Tahsildar's list at least this time though I deserved it last year itself. ~~But~~ the Board has chosen 2 candidates who are age-barred and one Christian candidate. There are sufficient passed over turns of Backward Hindus under the communal rotation rules and it is a surprise how the case of a person among the backward class who has been acting in the grade of Deputy Tahsildar and who is within the age limits and who has a career of 11½ years satisfactory service in the department, has been overlooked

P.T.O.

overlooked. By dint of sincere service and hard work in the different branches of work entrusted to me, I had gained sufficient experience and efficiency in the department earlier and under no circumstances I was found unsuitable in any branch of work. As regards my work, conduct and character it is needless for me to express at length now. A kind perusal of the remarks left by superior officers in my personal file will throw light into the real thing. The policy of the Government being to protect the interest of the backward class, it is my endevour to beseech their sympathy for considering my case for inclusion in the Deputy Tahsildar's list of this district this year favourably. I, therefore, humbly request that the whole case may kindly be revised by the Government after perusing the personal files and the service records concerned and include my name in the Deputy Tahsildar list in the proper place.

For which act of kindeness I shall ever remain grateful,

Yours obedient servant,

K. Sanjeeva
16.9.50.

N. Dis. 7466-50.

Submitted through the Revenue Divisional Officer, Puttur.

K. K. Subramanyanan
Tahsildar 19.9.50.

18/9/50

N. Dis 9188/50

Submitted to the Collector of S. Kanara.

2. The divisional file of the petitioner has not been received by me so far from the Collector.

Head Asst
Rev Divl Office
22/9.

Confidential

6

Rev. Depn

Read:

Ref. 5 cf — From Sri K. Sanjeeva Kharvi, Petition dated 16. 9. 1950

Ref. 1-2 cf — From the Board of Revenue. Rc. No. D. 985/50-(c) dated 25. 10. 50

G.O. Ms. No. 3054 dated 27-11-50

The Govt. have carefully examined the claims of Sri K. Sanjeeva Kharvi for inclusion of his name in the approved list of Deputy-Tahsildars for 1950 for the South Kanara Dt., along with his personal file. They observe that he belongs to a Backward Hindu Community ~~viz. Billavara~~ his honesty, hard work and intelligence have been ~~generally well~~ favorably Commented on and the Govt. consider that his ~~case~~ is a very deserving ~~case~~ fit to be

The Govt. accordingly direct that the name of Sri K. Sanjeeva Kharvi be included in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildars for 1950 for the South Kanara Dt. The Bd. of Rev. is requested ~~directed~~ to take action accordingly.

2. The petitioner is referred to the Bd. of Rev. for orders on his petition read above.

18.11.50. 18-XI-50

18/11/50.

To The Bd.

Bd. of Rev. (S)

To Sri K. C. Pare through the Deputy Commissioner, S.K.

29/11.

G.O. (P&T) 17 G/50)

Public Servants. (South Kanara) - Sanjiva Khari Sri K - Inclusion in the list of Deputy Tahsildars, Govt - Petition.

from Sri K. Sanjiva Khari, Petition Dt 16.9.50
from the Bd. of Rev. Ref. No. D 9851/50-2 (C) Dt 25.10.50.

To 25

Please see the petition on pp 3-5 cf. from Sri K. Sanjeeva Khari and the Bd's reference on pp 1-2 cf.

2. Sri K. Sanjiva Khari belongs to a Backward Hindu Community and is aged 31 years. He is technically qualified to hold the post of a Deputy Tahsildar. His name has not so far been recommended by the Collector of South Kanara, though he has worked as a temporary Deputy Tahsildar for more than one year. The Collr's remarks at 'X' on p 2 cf. and the remarks of the Bd. of Rev. at 'Z' on p 1 cf. may be perused in this Connection.

3. Inclusion of names in the approved list of Deputy Tahsildars is a statutory function of the Bd. of Rev. with which the Govt do not normally interfere except in the following circumstances:

(i) that he belongs to a Backward Community;
(ii) that he is fast approaching the age limit
(iii) that his name was repeatedly recommended by the Collector, but turned down by the Bd;
(iv) that he has put in long temporary service as Deputy Tahsildar.

The petitioner belongs to a Backward Hindu Community and hence satisfies criterion (i) above. He is aged only 31 years; his name has not so far been recommended by the Collector; and he has put in just over a year's service as a temporary Deputy Tahsildar. He does not, therefore, satisfy criteria (ii) to (iv) above.

In the circumstances it is for orders whether any interference by Govt. on behalf of the petitioner will be considered necessary or whether the petition will be rejected agreeing with the Collector's & Board's remarks on pp 1-2 of.

[The personal file of the petitioner is submitted at (S)]

6.11.50. 8.XI.50

The petitioner has done well as a clerk. As a RI, his work was found to be quite satisfactory. ~~Record~~. As a A.T.SO, all offices spoke very highly of his honesty & industry. As an electric Sgt dig Tale, his work was found to be quite satisfactory. Except for one stock remark that D.SO Cuddalore by his Collector "a bit unsatisfactory in his work", the record of this petitioner is uniformly quite satisfactory. The petitioner belongs to the Backward (Fishermen) Hindu Community & is aged 31 years. It is for orders whether his record will be considered to be good enough for one belonging to B.C. Community.

I think this is a very deserving case, & he is fit to be included. His honesty, hard work & intelligence have been favourably commented on

13/11/50

14/XI/50

16/11

H.D (dR)



Orders above. A draft order is put for approval.

17.11.50 17.XI.50

Revised draft put. for approval

14.11.50 18.XI.50

21/11/50